


Case: 2:15cv5204 Doc: 38

*Returned
Mail*

David Pardo
3033 Frontier Avenue NE
Albuquerque, NM 87190-0806



MIME-Version:1.0 From:cacd_ecfmail@cacd.uscourts.gov To:ecfnef@cacd.uscourts.gov
Message-Id:<21400824@cacd.uscourts.gov>Subject:Activity in Case 2:15-cv-05204-BRO-FFM
James Murtagh, M.D. v. David Pardo et al Order on Motion to Compel Content-Type: text/html

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 4/28/2016 at 12:36 PM PDT and filed on 4/28/2016

Case Name: James Murtagh, M.D. v. David Pardo et al

Case Number: 2:15-cv-05204-BRO-FFM

Filer:

Document Number: 38

Docket Text:

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS TO COMPEL by Magistrate Judge Frederick F. Mumm: denying [36] Motion to Compel; denying [37] Motion to Compel : On April 27, 2016, plaintiff filed two motions (the "Motions") to compel the production of documents by non-parties pursuant to subpoenas. (Docket Nos. 36 and 37.) The Motions are not accompanied by any proof of service on the subpoenaed parties. Moreover, the Motions do not demonstrate service of the subject subpoenas on the subpoenaed parties. The Motions also do not reveal whether the subpoenaed parties are represented by counsel. Plaintiff is admonished that if either or both of the subpoenaed parties are represented by counsel, plaintiff must comply with Local Rule 45-1, which requires compliance with Local Rule 37. Given the foregoing, the Court **DENIES** the Motions without prejudice. (jm)

2:15-cv-05204-BRO-FFM Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Steven Dennison Smith sdsmithe@smithesquire@gmail.com, nancyhazzouri@gmail.com,
nancyh@n2nourish.com

Matthew Paul Nugent mnugent@gordonrees.com

Melinda Mae Morton calendaring@procopio.com, gail.poulos@procopio.com,
mindy.morton@procopio.com

**2:15-cv-05204-BRO-FFM Notice has been delivered by First Class U. S. Mail or by other means
BY THE FILER to :**

David Pardo
3033 Frontier Avenue NE
Albuquerque NM 87190-0806
US

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES MURTAGH, M.D.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID PARDO, an individual, *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. CV 15-5204 BRO (FFMx)

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTIONS TO COMPEL

On April 27, 2016, plaintiff filed two motions (the "Motions") to compel the production of documents by non-parties pursuant to subpoenas. (Docket Nos. 36 and 37.) The Motions are not accompanied by any proof of service on the subpoenaed parties. Moreover, the Motions do not demonstrate service of the subject subpoenas on the subpoenaed parties. The Motions also do not reveal whether the subpoenaed parties are represented by counsel. Plaintiff is admonished that if either or both of the subpoenaed parties are represented by counsel, plaintiff must comply with Local Rule 45-1, which requires compliance with Local Rule 37.

Given the foregoing, the Court DENIES the Motions without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 28, 2016

/S/ FREDERICK F. MUMM

FREDERICK F. MUMM

United States Magistrate Judge



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

U.S. COURTHOUSE, ROOM G8

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

OFFICIAL BUSINESS



FFM